

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/181,585	10/28/1998	LAURA P.W. RANUM	110.00900101	1075
7:	590 11/22/2002			
ANN M MUETING			EXAMINER	
MEUTING RAASCH & GEBHARDT P O BOX 581415			SOUAYA, JEHANNE E	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	Λ.
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002	H C

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Response to Rule 312 Communication

Application No.

09/181,585

Applicant(e

Ranum et al

Examiner

Jehanne Souaya

Art Unit

1634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. X	T!	he amendment filed on May 13, 2002 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:
a)		entered.
b)		entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
c)		disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.  Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
d)	X	disapproved. See explanation below.
e)		entered in part. See explanation below.
		The Rule 312 amendment filed May 13, 2002 has not been entered because the paper filed does not amend

The Rule 312 amendment filed May 13, 2002 has not been entered because the paper filed does not amend either the specification, the inventorship, the drawings, or the allowed claims, but instead appears to comment on the examiner's reasons for allowance. There is no provision under 37 CFR 1.312 to amend the examiner's reasons for allowance (see MPEP 714.16). Applicants comments have been thoroughly reviewed. The examiner establishes for the written record that the examiner agrees with applicants comments made in the paper filed May 13, 2002. If applicant wishes to comment on the examiner's reasons for allowance, applicant may do so in a submission labeled "comments on statement of reasons for allowance" and must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee, as stated in the office action mailed 2/11/2002.

JEHANNE SOUAYA
PATENT EXAMINER

W. Gary Jones
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600